

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/526,628

Applicant(s)

FRIES

Examiner

NATHAN A. SLOAN

Art Unit

2614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN W. MILLER(3) JENS JENKINS(2) NATHAN A. SLOAN

(4) _____

Date of Interview 4/14/03Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: ALL (23 PARTICULARLY)

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS APPEAR TO READ OVER ^{APPLIED} REFERENCES / OVERCOME
THE REJECTIONS OF REWARD, HOWEVER AN UPDATED SEARCH AND
FURTHER REVIEW OF THE ART OF REWARD WILL BE PERFORMED.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached



JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required